



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

Kishorkumarmali

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Roshanai Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.246 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,

pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.246 of 2022, pending
on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyas

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 31.08.2022, for the offences punishable under Section 328 of IPC and



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

Section 24(1) of Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003 and Sections 4(1)(a), 4(1-A) and 14 A of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.246 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 31.08.2022, while the respondent Police and his team were on routine rounds, the petitioner along with the other accused was found in possession of banned tobacco products, worth about rupees Three Lakhs. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would also submit that without prejudice to his contentions, the petitioner is prepared to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government and hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that on 31.08.2022, when the respondent Police and his team were on routine rounds, the petitioner was found in illegal possession of banned tobacco products, worth about Rs.3,00,000/-. He would further submit that there is no previous case as against the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

However, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. In order to curb the illegal activities of smuggling of tobacco products, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of **Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only)** as a non refundable deposit to **"The Dean, Rajiv Gandhi Government General Hospital, Chennai"**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. Merely, because the petitioner have deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner is prepared to deposit **Rs.50,000/-** to any welfare scheme of the Government, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the "**The Dean, Rajiv Gandhi Government General Hospital, Chennai**" without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only)** each with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned I Judicial Magistrate, Dindivanam** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

23.09.2022

rgi



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

To
WEB COPY

1. The I Judicial Magistrate,
Dindivanam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Roshanai Police Station,
Villupuram District.
3. The Special Prison for Women,
Sub Jail,
Dindivanam.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA., J.

rgi

Crl.O.P.No.23227 of 2022

23.09.2022