



Crl.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.09.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

Saravanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by ,
The Inspector of Police,
H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.308/2022).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.308 of 2022 pending
on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Apunu

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.05.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 302 & 341 of IPC, in Crime No.308 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.05.2022, due to the previous enmity, the petitioner along with the other accused had abused the de-facto complainant's son in a filthy language and assaulted him indiscriminately with knife, due to which, he sustained grievous injuries and he died on the same day. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that there exist a previous enmity between the deceased and Accused 1 & 2. He would further submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and since, he happens to be the friend of the other accused, he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would also submit that the respondent had



Crl.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

WEB COPY

summoned the petitioner for enquiry and the petitioner appeared for enquiry before the respondent Police and he was asked to give information about the other two main accused and the petitioner has also given the information about them, while being so, the respondent has also remanded the petitioner. The learned counsel would submit that despite the presence of the CCTV footage at the place of occurrence, the respondent has not seized the same. He would also submit that the petitioner is in custody from 19.05.2022. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that due to the previous enmity, the petitioner along with the other accused had committed murder of the de-facto complainant's son. He would further submit that there are 13 previous cases as against the petitioner. He would also submit that the investigation has been completed and the final report has been filed in P.R.C.No.274 of 2022. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police



Crl.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

and perused the materials available on record including the previous cases registered as against the petitioner.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and the submissions made by the learned counsel and taking note of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner and on considering the previous cases against the petitioner, there is no offence is under Section 302 IPC, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



CrI.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned VI Additional City Civil Court, Chennai, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., and report before the respondent Police everyday at 5.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond during trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness during trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

26.09.2022

ham



Crl.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

1. The XV Metropolitan Magistrate,
George Town, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
H-6, R.K.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Central Prison,
Puzhal - II, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.
5. The VI Additional City Civil Court,
Chennai,



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

ham

CrI.O.P.No.23385 of 2022

26.09.2022