



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SESHASAYEE

Criminal Revision Case No.915 of 2021
&Crl.M.P.No.12683 of 2012

N.Nimmi @ Anitha

...Petitioner

Versus

1.S.Gokulraj
2.S.Amaravathi

...Respondents

Criminal Revision Case filed under Sections 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, to set aside the order passed by the Learned Additional Mahila Judge, Vellore in Crl.M.P.No.9659 of 2021 in D.V.C.No.7 of 2021 dated 15.11.2021.

For Petitioner : Ms.S.Sathia Chandran

For Respondents : No appearance

O R D E R

This Revision is preferred against an order passed by the learned Additional Mahila Judge, Vellore dismissing the application filed by the Revision Petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.9659 of 2021 in D.V.C.No.7 of 2021.

2.The quintessence of the issue is that the Revision Petitioner has preferred a complaint against her husband, the first respondent and another under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2008 seeking protection order. The trial of the case has commenced, in the course of which, she has filed C.M.P.No.9659 of 2021 for production of her appointment order, another complaint given by the petitioner to the DIG, Vellore, salary receipts of the first respondent and jewel receipts. The respondents have filed their counter. The learned Magistrate heard both sides and passed an order dismissing the petitioner's Application for production of some documents.

3.The learned counsel for the Revision Petitioner tried to make out a strong case for the petitioner, that these documents



are relevant to substantiate the case of the Revision Petitioner and that the learned Magistrate has not appreciated their relevancy in the factual context of the case.

4.This Court perused the entire typeset of the papers and also the impugned Order. The learned Magistrate's line of reasoning for dismissing the petitioner's application for production of documents is that, inasmuch as the petitioner has filed only a petition seeking Protection Order under Section 12 of the Act, these documents now sought to be produced are irrelevant.

5.This Court is satisfied with the line of the reasoning of the learned Magistrate since, the nature of the documents now sought to be introduced are not relevant given the context of the fact in issue for passing a Protection Order.

6.This Court therefore, does not find any merit to entertain this Revision Petition. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Petition stands dismissed accordingly. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Tsg

To

The Additional Mahila Judge,
Vellore.

+1cc to Mr.S.Sathia Chandran, Advocate, S.R.No.9833

Cr1.RC.No.915 of 2021

MT(CO)
RGA(15/03/2022)