



Crl.O.P.No.22789 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 31.07.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1-A) and 4(1)(a) of TNP Act, in Crime No.320 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in possession of 30 litres of illicit ID arrack. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner was found in possession of 30 litres of illicit ID arrack. He would also submit that there are 18 previous cases of similar nature as against him. Therefore, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. The previous cases against the petitioner are listed hereunder:-

<i>Sl.No</i>	<i>Crime No.</i>	<i>Offences</i>
1	206/2020	4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of TNP Act
2	593/2019	4(1)(a) of TNP Act
3	648/2018	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
4	411/2018	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
5	362/2018	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
6	295/2018	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
7	148/2015	110(g) of Cr.P.C
8	52/2015	4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of TNP Act @ 429 of IPC 11(1)(1) – CTA Act
9	3/2015	4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of TNP Act
10	190/2014	4(1)(aa), 4(1-A) of TNP Act @ 4(1)(aa) of TNP Act
11	159/2014	4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of TNP Act @ 4(1)(a) of TNP Act
12	126/2014	4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of TNP Act @ 4(1)(a) of TNP Act
13	570/2013	20(b)(ii)(B), 8(c) - NDPS Act 4(1-A), 4(1)(aa) - TNP Act
14	499/2013	20(b)(ii)(B), 8(c) - NDPS Act 4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) - TNP Act @ 4(1)(aaa) - TNP Act
15	889/2012	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act @ 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
16	534/2012	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
17	398/2012	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act
18	294/2012	4(1-A), 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act @ 4(1)(aaa) of TNP Act



WEB COPY

6. Heard both the learned Counsel and perused the materials available on record.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner is a habitual offender and he has got 18 previous cases of similar nature as against him, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed for the present.

23.09.2022

rgi



WEB COPY



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

rgi

Crl.O.P.No.22789 of 2022

23.09.2022