



Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.09.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

Saravanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
CSCID Police Station.
Krishnagiri District.
Crime No.123 of 2022

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.123 of 2022 on the file of
the respondent police pending investigation.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Rajan

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.07.2022 for the offences punishable under Section 6(4) of TN Scheduled Commodities (RDCS) Order, 1982 and Section 7(1)(a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955, in Crime No.123 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.07.2022, when the respondent police were on their regular patrol, they intercepted a lorry and conducted search and it was found that the petitioner had illegally transported 21,000 Kgs of PDS Rice worth of Rs.1,29,950/- without obtaining any permission or licence from the State Government. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would also submit that without prejudice to his contentions, the petitioner is prepared to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- to any Welfare Scheme of the



Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

Government. Therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner has illegally transported 21,000 Kgs of PDS rice worth of Rs.1,29,950/-. He would also submit that there are three previous cases of similar nature as against the petitioner. Therefore, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both the learned counsel and perused the materials available on record.

6. In order to curb the illegal activities of transporting PDS rice, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of **Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only)** as non refundable deposit to "the District Revenue Officer, Krishnagiri District," without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. However, it is made clear that merely, because the petitioner deposits the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the



CrI.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

case independently.

7. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner has prepared to deposit Rs.50,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to **deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) by way of Demand Draft to the "District Revenue Officer, Krishnagiri District"**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond each for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate - I, Krishnagiri**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and
Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the



Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

20.09.2022

rgi

To

5/7



Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate - I,
Krishnagiri.
2. The Inspector of Police,
CSCID Police Station.
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Salem.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA., J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

rgi

Crl.O.P.No.22721 of 2022

20.09.2022