



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.04.2022

Coram

The Honourable Mr. Justice P.N.PRAKASH
and
The Honourable Mr. Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN

H.C.P.No.1802 of 2021

Jeevitha

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-9.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Salem City,
Salem.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison,
Salem.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in C.M.P.No.86/Goonda/Salem City/2021 dated 23.09.2021 on the file of the second respondent and quash the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce the detenu Subramani, S/o.Veerasamy, aged about 35 years, now confined at the Central Prison, Salem and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Ms.S.Sengkodi

For Respondents : Mr.M.Babu Muthumeeran
Addl. Public Prosecutor



ORDER

[Made by P.N.PRAKASH, J.]

WEB COPY

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu Subramani, S/o.Veerasamy, aged about 35 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in C.M.P.No.86/Goonda/Salem City/2021 dated 23.09.2021, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the arrest intimation has not been translated in vernacular language. He further submitted that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copies of the documents relied on by him. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on these grounds, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record especially Page No.57 of the booklet, it is clear that the arrest intimation has not been translated in vernacular language. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in C.M.P.No.86/Goonda/Salem City/2021 dated 23.09.2021, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Subramani, S/o.Veerasamy, aged about 35 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar



1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George,
Chennai-9.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Salem City, Salem.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Salem.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem.
5. The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1802 of 2021

NK[co]
NSK 02/05/2022