



Crl.OP.No.20937 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.20937 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 6(4) of TNSC (RDCS) Order 1982 r/w Section 7(i)(a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955, in Crime No.233 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent Police and their team were on regular patrol, it was found that the petitioner was in possession of huge quantity of PDS rice, without any valid license. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the petitioner was in possession of huge quantity of PDS rice. He would further submit that the petitioner has three previous cases pending against him in Crime Nos.208 of 2017, 219 of 2017 and 271 of 2021. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.20937 of 2022

mn

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner was in conscious possession of huge quantity of PDS rice, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

01.09.2022

mn

Crl.O.P.No.20937 of 2022