



CrI.O.P.No. 20753 of 2022

CrI.O.P.No. 20753 of 2022

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections Vth Act of 21(d)(h) of Tamil Nadu Forest Act 1882 and Sections 9, 50, 51 of Indian Wild Life Act 1972 and Section 25(1)(A) of Indian Arms Act 1959 in Crime No.3 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the direction of the Divisional District Forest Officer, Tirupattur, the respondent police went to Poongulam Reserved Forest Area, at that time, they found that the petitioners were in illegal possession of country made gun. On seeing the respondent police, the petitioners tried to escape from there. However, the respondent police managed and caught A1. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that there are totally five accused. A2 and A5 were in possession of country made gun and hunted the animals. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tirupattur, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



CrI.O.P.No. 20753 of 2022

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. and 5.30 p.m. for a period of two weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

01.09.2022

Lpp



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No. 20753 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Lpp

CrI.O.P.No. 20753 of 2022

01.09.2022