



Crl.O.P.No21045 and 21050 of 2022

WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.Nos.21045 and 21050 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 323 of IPC r/w under Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.214 of 2022 seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 12.08.2022, the defacto complainant was driving the Government bus bearing Regn.No.TN-01-AN-1153 from Broadway to KK Nagar. On its way, he was driving the bus at West Mambalam Ayodhya Mandapam and nearer to Moorthy Street, the driver has turned on the indicator and took right turn, where the accused persons tried to taken left turn, subsequently, they started scolding the defacto complainant and after entering into the Moorthy Street, the said accused persons thrown stones on the bus and broken the front glass of the bus and caused injuries to the driver/defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such



Crl.O.P.No21045 and 21050 of 2022

offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured has taken treatment as out patient. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has taken treatment as out patient, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the ***learned XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai*** on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum



Crl.O.P.No21045 and 21050 of 2022

WEB COPY

to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation .

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



Crl.O.P.No21045 and 21050 of 2022

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

02.09.2022

Vv

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No21045 and 21050 of 2022

Vv

Crl.O.P.Nos.21045 and 21050 of 2022

02.09.2022