



W.A.No.2056 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.10.2022

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice **PARESH UPADHYAY**
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice **D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY**

W.A.No.2056 of 2022
and W.M.P. No.15558 of 2022

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its
Principal Secretary,
School Education Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 9.
- 2.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 6.
- 3.The Joint Director of School Education
(Personnel), DPI Campus,
College Road, Chennai - 6.
- 4.The Chief Educational Officer,
Cuddalore, Cuddalore District.
- 5.The District Educational Officer,
Vridhachalam, Cuddalore District.
- 6.The Headmaster,
Government High School,
Aladi, Vridhachalam Taluk,
Cuddalore District.

.. Appellants

VS

R.Malarvannan

.. Respondent



W.A.No.2056 of 2022

Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent against the order dated 22.10.2021 made in W.P. No.22461 of 2021.

For Appellants : Ms.E.Renganayaki,
Additional Government Pleader

For Respondent : Mr.C.Munusamy

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by PARESH UPADHYAY.,J)

Challenge in this appeal is made to the order dated 22.10.2021 recorded on W.P.No.22461 of 2021. This appeal is by the State Authorities.

2. The entire order which is under challenge in this appeal reads as under:-

“Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal, learned Government Advocate takes notice on behalf of the first to fifth respondents and submits that appropriate orders will be passed by the third respondent on the recommendation dated 12.08.2021 of the fourth respondent within a period of



W.A.No.2056 of 2022

twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

2. Recording the same, this writ petition is disposed at the time of admission by directing the third respondent to pass appropriate orders for appointing the petitioner based on the recommendation of the Chief Educational Officer within the aforesaid period. No costs."

3. We find that, this is an invited order and the very filing of the appeal was not proper, however that aspect is not stretched further. This appeal is dismissed, however without imposing any costs, which could have been imposed in this case. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition would not survive.

(P.U., J) (D.B.C., J)
28.10.2022

Index:No
mmi/33



WEB COPY



W.A.No.2056 of 2022

PARESH UPADHYAY, J.
and
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

mmi

W.A.No.2056 of 2022

28.10.2022