



Crl.O.P.No.19668 of 2022

WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.19668 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 393 and 427 of IPC in Crime No.420 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.07.2022 at 12.30 a.m., when the defacto complainant and his lover Poornima were talking inside their parked car, the petitioner and others came up and asked to open the door. Thereby, the defacto complainant came out and locked the car keeping his lover Poornima inside. At that time, the petitioner along with others attempted to rob him, thereafter he went and hid himself in a bush and the accused shouted to open the car, she did not open and hence, they started to break open the windows, then the defacto complainant appeared also a vehicle came across which made the accused fled away from the scene. Hence, the complaint.



CrI.O.P.No.19668 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submits that when the defacto complainant and his lover Poornima were talking inside their parked car, the petitioner and others came up and asked to open the door. Thereby, the defacto complainant came out and locked the car keeping his lover Poornima inside. At that time, the petitioner along with others attempted to rob him, thereafter he went and hid himself in a bush and the accused shouted to open the car, she did not open and hence, they started to break open the windows, then the defacto complainant appeared also a vehicle came across which made the accused fled away from the scene. He would further submit that the petitioner is having 5 previous cases pending against him. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.19668 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and also the fact that the petitioner is having 5 previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

25.08.2022

VV



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19668 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.19668 of 2022

25.08.2022