



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022 in Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.08.2022

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

**Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022
in
Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022**

Jothi

... Petitioner

Versus

1.The Executive Magistrate cum Deputy Commissioner,
Flower Bazaar Range, Chennai.

2.State rep by
The Inspector of Police (Law & Order)
B-1, North Beach Police Station,
Chennai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Sections 397 (1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure to suspend the sentence imposed in M.C:124/N1.Se.Na & ka.Thu.Aa/Pu.Ma/2022 vide an order dated 22.07.2022 on the file of the 1st respondent and enlarge the petitioner on bail.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Thulasi Manikandan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022 in Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner/Accused, seeking suspension of sentence imposed by the first respondent in M.C:124/NI.Se.Na & ka.Thu.Aa/Pu.Ma/2022, dated 22.07.2022 and enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that in a routine manner, with a view to detain the petitioner, bond is required under Section 110 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and subsequently, the petitioner is implicated in criminal case as if she violated the bond and now, the present order is passed.

3. He would further submit that this Court, earlier, in the case of **P.Sathish Vs State and another**, in Crl.R.C.(MD) No.302 of 2017 dated 09.08.2017 had issued certain directions to be followed by the Executive Magistrate before clamping detention orders. Further, in the judgment rendered in **Devi Vs State**, in Crl.R.C.No.78 of 2020, by order dated 25.09.2020, another Hon'ble Judge of this Court had doubted the power of the Deputy Commissioner of Police in passing detention order and while differing with the



view taken in **P.Sathish Vs State and another**, cited *supra* had referred the issues to the Hon'ble Chief Justice for constituting a larger bench and thereby, it would take some time to decide the issue. He would therefore, pray for suspension of sentence pending revision.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), would submit that the petitioner had furnished a bond to be of good behaviour and in violation of the bond, she had involved in the offence and the respondent, after affording sufficient opportunity, finding violation of the bond, passed the order of detention.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the materials on record.

6. This Court in **“Devi Versus The Executive Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner of Police, St.Thomas Mount District”** in Crl.R.C.No.78 of 2020, by order dated 25.09.2020, had differed with the findings given in Crl.R.C.No.982 of 2018, dated 24.11.2018 and held in paragraph No.20 as follows:-

“42. Since this Court respectfully differs from V. Parthiban, J. on the issue of applicability of Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C. to a good behaviour bond under



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022 in Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022

Section 110(e), the Registry is directed to place this matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

43. Further, as this Court is not in agreement with the view propounded by another learned single judge of this Court in Balamurugan (supra), the following question is framed with a direction to the Registry to place the same before the Hon'ble Chief Justice with a request to constitute a Bench of appropriate strength for an authoritative pronouncement:

Whether G.O.Ms.No.659, Home (Cts. VIA) Department dated 12.09.2013 and G.O. Ms.No.181, Home (Cts.VIA) Department dated 20.02.2014 violate the scheme of separation of powers and are ultra vires the proviso to Section 6 of the Tamil Nadu District Police Act, 1868 (Central Act XXIV of 1868)?”

7. Now, both the learned Single Judges have referred the issues to be placed before the Hon'ble The Chief Justice for constituting a larger bench with regard to applicability of Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C., the power exercised by police officers can it be said to be power exercised as Executive Magistrate under the Code of Criminal Procedure and for other connected issues.

8. In view of the above and it is learnt that it would take some time for the above issues to be decided, this Court is inclined to suspend the sentence imposed on the petitioner with the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall execute own bond for a



Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022 in Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022

sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) before the Superintendent, Women's Central Prison, Puzhal.

(ii)The petitioner shall appear before the second respondent Police everyday at 5.30 p.m., until further orders.

9. Hence, the Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is ordered to the extent of granting Suspension of Sentence. The Superintendent, Women's Central Prison, Puzhal, is directed to set the petitioner at liberty, if her further detention is no longer required in connection with any other case or proceedings.

10. The Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is ordered accordingly.

17.08.2022
(2/2)

Index : yes/no
Internet: yes/no
Speaking order/Non-speaking order
sli

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY. J.,



WEB COPY



Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022 in Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022

sli

To

1.The Executive Magistrate cum Deputy Commissioner,
Flower Bazaar Range, Chennai.

2.State rep by
The Inspector of Police (Law & Order)
B-1, North Beach Police Station,
Chennai District.

3.The Superintendent, Women's Central Prison,
Puzhal.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.

Crl.M.P.No.12702 of 2022
in
Crl.R.C.No.1138 of 2022

(2/2)

17.08.2022