



Crl.OP.No.18376 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.18376 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) and 307 of IPC, in Crime No.313 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to land dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant's husband. It is also alleged that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant's husband with wooden log and stones and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and no way connected with the alleged offence. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that due to land dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant's husband. The petitioners attacked the defacto complainant's



Crl.OP.No.18376 of 2022

husband with wooden log and stones and caused grievous injuries. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. It is seen that the injured is still in the hospital. That apart, the petitioners attacked the injured with stones and wooden log. The injured sustained head injuries.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the bad antecedents of the petitioners, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is very much required in this case. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10.08.2022

mn



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.18376 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

mn

Crl.O.P.No.18376 of 2022

10.08.2022