



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 13.07.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.29434 of 2014

and


M.P.No.1 of 2014

- 1.C.Balakrishnan
- 2.N.Govindarajan
- 3.B.S.Karthikeyan
- 4.S.George Solomon Rajasingh
- 5.P.Rajeswari
- 6.P.John
- 7.V.Nagendran
- 8.G.Vasanth
- 9.S.Chandramouli
- 10.N.Kumutha Kannathal
- 11.G.Rajendran
- 12.B.Karthigeyan
- 13.M.Vincent
- 14.S.Gunasekaran
- 15.P.Valliannan
- 16.K.Nirmala
- 17.D.Karunakaran
- 18.R.Rangaraj
- 19.K.Eswaramurthi
- 20.A.Kulandaivelu
- 21.M.Palanivel

...Petitioners

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep.by Principal Secretary to Government,



WEB COPY

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to declare that the petitioners as Vocational Instructors have no promotional avenues and hence are entitled to grade pay of Rs.5400 as per G.O.263 Finance (Pay Cell) dated 22.07.2013 and consequently direct the respondents to fix the salary and pension of the petitioners treating the grade pay of the petitioners at Rs.5400 with notional effect from 01.01.2006 and monetary benefit from 01.01.2011.

For Petitioner : M/s.C.Uma
For Respondents : Mrs.S.Anitha
[For R1 to R4] Special Government Pleader



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

WEB COPY

ORDER

The relief sought for in the present writ petition is to direct the 1st respondent to declare that the petitioners as Vocational Instructors have no promotional avenues and hence are entitled to grade pay of Rs.5400 as per G.O.263 Finance (Pay Cell) dated 22.07.2013 and consequently direct the respondents to fix the salary and pension of the petitioners treating the grade pay of the petitioners at Rs.5400 with notional effect from 01.01.2006 and monetary benefit from 01.01.2011.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners made a submission that the relief sought for in the present writ petition is similar to the relief sought for by the writ petitioners in ***W.P.No.11716 of 2014 [K.Mohanasundaram Vs. The Secretary to Government, School Education Department]***, which was decided by this Court on 22.06.2022.

3. The relevant paragraphs of the said order are extracted hereunder :

“2. The writ petitioner was appointed as a part time Vocational Instructor on 21.02.1986 subsequently, he was appointed as a Regular Vocational Instructor on 16.10.1992 and further the petitioner was



awarded with the Selection Grade Vocational Instructor on completion of 10 years of service. The pay of the writ petitioner was fixed and subsequently based on the audit objections, the pay fixed to the petitioner was sought to be re-fixed and consequently recovery is also imposed. The issues regarding fixation of pay to the Vocational Instructor was considered by this Court in a batch of writ petitions in **W.P.Nos. 2654, 2924 and 2663 of 2018 dated 19.03.2018** in the case of **L.Natarajan Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu & others** and an order was passed on 19.03.2018 and the relevant portions of the order reads as follows:

"6. A Government letter cannot prevail over a Government order issued under Article 162 of the Constitution of India. But then, as per the proceedings of the Principal Accountant General, Chennai, the monetary benefits can be given only from 01.04.2013. If they have been mistakenly given from the earlier date, the excess pay has to be recovered.

7. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner placing reliance of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in 2015 (4) SCC 334 [State of Punjab v. Rafiq Masih] held that an order of recovery may not be made. Though the Hon'ble Supreme Court made it clear that only if it would cause hardship, recovery ought not be effected. In this case, some of the writ petitioners who have retired are very much getting pension. Therefore, the order of recovery will



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

not cause hardship to them.

8. The Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the Principal Accountant General, Chennai, submits that the objection was made in the year 2011. That apart, the proceedings dated 14.07.2014 of the Principal Accountant General, Chennai, are not under challenge.

9. Therefore, these writ petitions are partly allowed by holding that selection grade Vocational Instructor are entitled to be paid selection grade scale of pay Rs.15600-39100+GP 5400 admissible with monetary benefits from 01.04.2013. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed."

3. The said order passed by this Court was implemented by the Government and a Government Order was issued in G.O.Ms.No.306, Finance (CMPC) Department, dated 12.09.2018. The said Government order reads as under:

"7. As there is no promotional avenues for the post of vocational instructors it would not be appropriate to restrict their Selection Grade/ Special Grade scales of pay as that of BT Assistant who are having promotional prospects of elevating to the post of Head Master High School/ Head Master Higher Secondary School/ District Educational Officer in the light of the above facts. The Government has decided to implement the orders of the Madurai Bench of Madras



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

High Court dated 19.03.2018 in W.P.(MD).No.2694, 2924 & 2663 of 2018 and 15980 & 17197 of 2014. Accordingly, the Government direct that the Selection Grade Scale of Pay of Vocational Instructors who were awarded Selection Grade prior to 01.01.2016 and in the case of employees who have exercised their opinion to come over to the revised scales of pay on the date of their award of Selection Grade between 01.01.2006 and 31.05.2009 shall be refixed in the scale of pay of Rs.1600-39100 + G.P.5400 notionally with effect from 01.01.2006 on the date of award of Selection Grade in the post of Vocational Instructor with monetary benefits from 01.04.2013.

8. The above orders shall be applicable to all the Vocational Instructors who were awarded Selection Grade prior to 01.01.2006 and those awarded Selection Grade between 01.01.2006 and 31.05.2009 and opted for the revised scales of pay on that date irrespective of the fact as to whether they filed separate writ petitions or not."

4. In view of the above orders of this Court and the Government Order passed in G.O.Ms.No.306, Finance (CMPC) Department, the case of the writ petitioner is also directed to be considered and accordingly appropriate orders are to be passed based on the said G.O., dated 12.09.2018, within a period of twelve (12)



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With this direction, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.”

4. In view of the fact that the case of the petitioners is also similar to that of the cases cited *supra*, the petitioners are also entitled to the relief.

5. Thus, the case of the writ petitioners is also directed to be considered, based on the orders of this Court and the Government Order passed in G.O.Ms.No.306, Finance (CMPC) Department dated 12.09.2018 and accordingly, appropriate orders are to be passed within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Consequently, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

13.07.2022

Index : Yes
Speaking order: Yes
kak



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

To

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Department of Finance,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Secretary to Government,
Department of Education,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

3.Director of School Education,
DPI Complex,
College Road, Chennai – 600 006.

4.Joint Director School Education (Vocational)
Office of Director of School Education,
DPI Complex, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.29434 of 2014

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

kak

W.P.No.29434 of 2014

13.07.2022