



CrI.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

CrI.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 40 f TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.04 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is running a dubbing recording studio and the petitioner misbehaved with the defacto complainant, who is one of the dubbing artist. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the said complaint is to demanding lump sum amount from the petitioner. He would further submit that the petitioner has not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner misbehaved and harassed the defacto complainant. Therefore, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, and also taking note of the fact that the case is of the year 2020, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet Court, Saidapet, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on



CrI.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



Crl.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

03.08.2022

ata

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

ata



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.18294 of 2022

03.08.2022