



WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Clause 6(4) of TNSC (RDCS) Order, 1982 r/w 7(1)(a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955 in Crime No. 136 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.07.2022, by using a lorry of Registration No.KL 06 D 7842, the petitioner along with other person transported 12 tonnes of PDS rice illegally. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that PDS rice involved in this case is 12 tonnes which is a huge quantity. The earlier anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.16411 of 2022 dated 15.07.2022 and this is the second anticipatory bail petition. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Anu

5. Considering the huge quantity of PDS rice involved in this case, there is no change of circumstances to consider the second anticipatory bail petition. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

03.08.2022

Anu

Crl.O.P.No.18296 of 2022