



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28-06-2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

WP NO.25869 OF 2014

AND

MP NO.2 OF 2014

A.Muniyappan

.. Petitioner

vs.

1. The Executive Officer,
Chengam Town Panchayat,
Chengam,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2. V.Prakash

3. Padmavathy

4. R.Settu

5. T.Jamesh

6. G.Dhanaselvi

.. Respondents

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the orders passed in Na.Ka.No.270/2013/A1 dated 12.09.2014 on the file of the first respondent herein and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Ms.V.Suguna for
Mr.C.Munusamy.

For Respondents : No Appearance



O R D E R

The Interview Call Letter issued to the second respondent on 12.09.2014 for selection to the post of Overhead Tank Watchman at Chengam Town Panchayat, Chengam, Thiruvannamalai District, is under challenge in the present writ petition.

2. The writ petitioner states that he is a differently abled person and studied upto X Standard. The petitioner registered his name in the District Employment Exchange and further contends that he is fully qualified for selection to the post of Overhead Tank Watchman, Library Watchman and Night Watchman.

3. The petitioner came to know that three vacancies aroused and the first respondent had initiated steps to fill up the post in an illegal manner. Respondents 2 to 6 received Interview Call Letters and the petitioner was not considered for issuance of such Call Letter. Thus, the petitioner is constrained to move the present writ petition.

4. The petitioner has not established whether his name was sponsored by the District Employment Exchange or not. If at all his name was sponsored during the relevant point of time, whether he was within the zone of consideration in his category or not, the process of selection, no doubt, is to be conducted in accordance with the procedures contemplated. If at all any violations, malpractices or corrupt activities, then the petitioner has to establish the same through documents and evidences. Contrarily, the petitioner filed the present writ petition, challenging the Interview Call Letter issued to the second respondent, which cannot be sustained.

5. That apart, the Interview Call Letter was issued in the year 2014 and already 8 years lapsed. It is not made clear whether the persons received the Call Letter were selected and appointed or not.

6. In the absence of all these facts and circumstances, the relief, as such, sought for by the writ petitioner in the present writ petition, cannot be granted at this length of time and more-so, the relief lost its relevance.



7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Svn

To

The Executive Officer,
Chengam Town Panchayat,
Chengam,
Thiruvannamalai District.

WP 25869 of 2014

NK(CO)
PM/08/07/2022