



Crl.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, and 506 (ii) of IPC, in Crime No.29 of 2022 seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity and political issues, the petitioners and others abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked the defacto complainant with hands and by using stones. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that this Court already granted anticipatory bail with certain conditions to the petitioners vide order dated 24.02.2022 in Crl.OP.No.4354 of 2022, but, they could not



Crl.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

WEB COPY

do the same, due to health issues, the petitioners were unable to surrender and execute sureties and as such, the petitioners have filed the present anticipatory bail petition. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen



CrI.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

WEB COPY

days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Munsif-Cum-Judicial Magistrate, Periyannur on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CrI.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

04.08.2022

Vv



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Vv

CrI.O.P.No.18192 of 2022

04.08.2022