



Cont.P.No.2213 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE : 20.10.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Contempt Petition No.2213 of 2022

i).Gowri

ii).Nageshwari

... Petitioners

Vs.

i).S.Amirtha Jyothi

The District Collector

Chennai District

Singaravelanar Malligai

Rajaji Salai, Chennai 600 001

2.Kannappan

The Revenue Divisional Officer

Tondiarpet Revenue Division

Chennai 600 081

3.Sathyendra Raj

The Tahsildar

Madhavaram Taluk

Thiruvallur District

Puzhal, Chennai 600 066

... Respondents

Prayer:Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondents herein for contempt of Court, for having not obeyed and also for having acted in total disregard and in flagrant violation of the order dated 23.01.2015 passed by this Court in W.P.No.31296 of 2014.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Ajoy



Cont.P.No.2213 of 2022

For Respondents : Mr.T.K.Saravanan
Government Advocate

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner praying this Court to punish the respondents herein for contempt of Court, for having not obeyed and also for having acted in total disregard and in flagrant violation of the order dated 23.01.2015 passed by this Court in W.P.No.31296 of 2014.

2. When the matter was taken up, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent produced a status report, wherein in Para No.10 it has been stated as follows:

“10. It is respectfully submitted that there is no truth in the allegation of the petitioners that the subordinates of the Respondents 1 to 3 indulged in the activities mentioned in the affidavit. Moreover, a suit filed in this regard by the 2nd petitioner in O.S.No.48 of 2014 on the file of the Subordinate Judge court at Ponneri is reported to be pending. Actually, the officials of the Respondents 1 to 3 had only measured the said property to demarcate the boundaries of the said land on a request from the Commissioner Milk Production and Milk colony Development Department. No eviction was carried out by the Respondents 1 to 3 as alleged by the petitioners. As such, the question of alleged contempt does not arise in this case. The petitioners are put to strict proof of the same.



Cont.P.No.2213 of 2022

3. In view of the above, this Contempt Petition stands closed.

WEB COPY

20.10.2022

smn



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.2213 of 2022

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

smn

Cont.P.No.2213 of 2022

20.10.2022