



Crl.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 67 of IT Act, Sections 11(5), 12, 7, 8 of POCSO Act, Section 448 of IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.11 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that A1 who the son of the petitioner herein had shown pornography videos and obscene photos and compelled the victim girl to marry him. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CrI.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that A1 fell in love with the victim girl aged about 17 years and had shown pornography videos and obscene photos and compelled the victim girl to marry him. The petitioner herein is the father of A1. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. A perusal of the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C of the victim girl revealed that the victim girl is aged about 17 years. She fell in love with the son of the petitioner herein. The petitioner herein is the maternal uncle of the victim girl. Further revealed that A1 had shown pornography videos and obscene photos and compelled the victim girl to marry him. So, the victim girl refused to love him. Therefore, there is no physical relationship between them. However, the petitioner herein is the father of A1.



Crl.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

WEB COPY

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner does not require in this case. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Nannilam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with **two blood related sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.



Crl.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily Morning at 10.30 a.m. and Evening 05.00 p.m for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

22.07.2022

mn



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

mn

Crl.O.P.No.17073 of 2022

22.07.2022