



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.01.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

H.C.P.NO.1371 OF 2021

Pavithra

... Petitioner/Wife of
the Detenue

.Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Secretary to Government,
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),
Fort St. George,
Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Salavakkam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
5. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai - 66.

... Respondents

PRAYER:-

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records relating to the impugned order in B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.V.No.35/2021 dated 29.07.2021 on the file of the second respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce the detenu Vaseekaran @ Vasi, son of Mohan, aged about



28 years, now confined at the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr. Ilayaraja Kandasamy
For Respondents : Mr. R. Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Made by P.N. PRAKASH, J.]

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu Vaseekaran @ Vasi, son of Mohan, aged about 28 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.V.No.35/2021 dated 29.07.2021, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.



5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 29.07.2021. The petitioner made a representation 06.09.2021. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 13.09.2021. The remarks were duly received on 30.09.2021. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 29.10.2021.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 17 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which, 4 days were Government Holidays and hence there was an inordinate delay of 13 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 30.09.2021 and there was a delay of 29 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, 11 days were Government Holidays, hence, there was an inordinate delay of 18 days in considering the representation.

7. In *Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu* (2011 (5) SCC 244), the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In *Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government* (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In *Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in 1980 (2) SCC 321, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 13 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 18 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.



In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.S.V.No.35/2021 dated 29.07.2021, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Vaseekaran @ Vasi, son of Mohan, aged about 28 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nsd

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),
Fort St. George,
Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Salavakkam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
5. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai - 66.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.NO.1371 OF 2021

SSN(CO)
PBS/19/01/2022