

**CrI.O.P.No.16626 of 2022**

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 6(4) of the TN Scheduled Commodities (RDCS) Order 1982, Section 7(1)(a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act in Crime No.92 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was in illegal possession of 19800 Kgs of PDS Rice without any valid permission or license. Hence, the complaint.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed on him. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner was in illegal possession of 19800 Kgs of PDS rice and the same has been recovered. He would further submit that the petitioner is the owner of the rice. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the huge quantity of essential commodities seized, as custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

18.07.2022

Lpp



WEB COPY



3

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Lpp

Crl.O.P.No.16626 of 2022

18.07.2022