



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.6586 of 2014

and

M.P.No.2 of 2014

&

W.M.P.No.14128 of 2016

Shanthi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Chief Educational Officer
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

2. The District Educational Officer
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

3. The Correspondent
Sankar Higher Secondary School
Sankari West, Namakkal District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertaining to the Notice of the 3rd respondent dated 25.02.2014 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as B.Ed. Graduate Teacher in the 3rd respondent School.

For Petitioner	: Mr.C.K.M.Appaji
For R1 and R2	: Mr.M.Bindram Additional Government Pleader
For R3	: Mr.Vishnu Mohan For Mr.R.Parthasarathy

ORDER

The notification issued by the 3rd respondent School, inviting applications from eligible candidates for appointment to the post of B.T. Assistant (English), is under challenge in the present writ petition.



2. Admittedly, the writ petitioner is working as a Secondary Grade Teacher in the 3rd respondent School and she possesses requisite qualification for appointment to the post of B.T. Assistant (Social Studies). However, the impugned notification was issued for appointment to the post of B.T. Assistant (English) and that apart, the notification was issued in the year 2014 and after a lapse of 8 years, now, at this length of time, the notification cannot be interfered with by this Court.

3. The learned counsel appearing for 3rd respondent School brought to the notice of this Court that, pursuant to the interim stay granted in the present writ petition, the notification was not acted upon and no one was selected for appointment to the post of B.T. Assistant. By efflux of time and on account of reduction of students' strength, the post of B.T. Assistant is not required in the 3rd respondent School and thus, the Management has not initiated any action.

4. This being the factum, no further consideration is required in respect of the grounds raised in the present writ petition. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Jeni/Mkn

To

1. The Chief Educational Officer
Namakkal District, Namakkal.
2. The District Educational Officer
Namakkal District, Namakkal.

+1cc to Mr.R.Parthasarathy, Advocate, S.R.No.33994
+1cc to Mr.C.K.M.Appaji, Advocate, S.R.No.33450
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.34228

W.P.No.6586 of 2014

SMI[co]
NSK/16/06/2022