

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Eighth day of July Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.15875 of 2022

RADHAKRISHNAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
E-3 SARAVANMPATTI,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.473/2022)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S R.VENKATRAMAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 420 of IPC in Crime No.473 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner purchased floor tiles for construction business from the defacto complainant's company to the tune of Rs.9,42,870/-. Thereafter, the petitioner denied to pay the amount for the purchased tiles to the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner purchased tiles from the defacto complainant's company to the tune of Rs.9,42,870/- and denied to pay the amount to the

defacto complainant and thereby cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Even according to the case of the defacto complainant, the petitioner purchased floor tiles for construction purpose to the tune of Rs.9,42,870/- from the defacto complainant. Thereafter, the petitioner denied the purchased amount. Therefore, it is only a business transaction.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner does not require in this case. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Coimbatore, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

08/07/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-II,
COIMBATORE.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
COIMBATORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
E-3 SARAVANMPATTI,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S R.VENKATRAMAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.No.11150

CRL OP.15875/2022

Date :08/07/2022

CSK 11/07/2022