



W.P.No.41269 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 31.10.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.41269 of 2016
and
W.M.P.Nos.35242 of 2016

L.Palani

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
Villupuram District.

2.The Deputy Registrar,
Office of the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
Villupuram.

3.Mr.S.Thillaivillalan

4.The President,
E-2028, Kandamandi Primary Agri.
Co-operative Credit Society,
Kandamandi Post,
Villupuram Taluk and District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to furnish the documents as required by the petitioner in his written representation dated 31.10.2016.



W.P.No.41269 of 2016

For Petitioner	: Mr.Anbalagan
For R1 to R3	: Ms.P.T.Rama Devi Standing Counsel for Greater Chennai Corporation
For R4	: Mrs.R.L.Karthika Government Advocate

ORDER

The order dated 09.02.2010 passed by the 3rd respondent is sought to be quashed by filing the present writ petition after a lapse of seven years from the date of passing of the order.

2. The petitioner remained unauthorisedly absent for long duration and the departmental disciplinary proceedings were initiated and the said proceedings were concluded in the year 2005, imposing the penalty of stoppage of increment for 3 years without cumulative effect. The punishment was also implemented. The petitioner attained the age of superannuation on 30.06.2007 and retired from service.

3. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that on account of implementation of punishment during the fag end of his retirement, he was



W.P.No.41269 of 2016

unable to get benefits of increment in pensionary benefits.

WEB COPY

4. The facts remains that the petitioner was allowed to retire from the service in the year 2007. The departmental disciplinary proceedings were concluded in the year 2005. The order passed in the year 2010 rejecting the claim of the writ petitioner for grant of increment is challenged after a lapse of about 7 years from the date of passing of the order. Therefore, the writ petition is liable to be rejected on the ground of laches.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

31.10.2022

Jeni

Index : Yes

Speaking order



W.P.No.41269 of 2016

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Commissioner
Greater Chennai Corporation
Rippon Buildings, Chennai – 600 003.
- 2.The Health Officer,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Rippon Buildings, Chennai – 600 003.
- 3.The Assistant Director,
Local Fund Audit,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Rippon Buildings, Chennai – 600 003.
- 4.The Secretary Government
Municipal Administration,
Water Supply Department,
Forst St. George, Chennai – 600 009.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.41269 of 2016

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

Jeni

W.P.No.41269 of 2016

31.10.2022