



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Fourth day of July Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.15382 of 2022

M.MADHAN @ MADHANKUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NEMILI POLICE STATION,
RANIPET DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.175 OF 2022.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. RALPH V.MANO HAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 504, 506(i), 109 IPC, in Crime No.175 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there are totally two accused and the petitioner herein is arrayed as A2. The defacto complainant is running fruit shop and he is aged about 60 years and the petitioners threatened the defacto complainant's son to withdraw the complaint which was given by the defacto complainant's son against the petitioner and hence there arose quarreled between them. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that there is a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant's son in order to withdraw the complaint which was given by the defacto complainant's son. Hence, the petitioner was misbehaved by urinating and defecation in front of the defacto complainant's fruit shop. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Arakkonam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-
04/07/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARAKKONAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NEMILI POLICE STATION,
RANIPET DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+2 CC to M/S. RALPH V.MANO HAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.10589

CRL OP.15382/2022

Date :04/07/2022

JPA 06/07/2022