

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.07.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH

W.P.No.16824 of 2022 and
WMP.No.16118 of 2022

M/s.Dry Nut Enterprises,
Rep. by its Partner Mr.Vikas Walia,
22014, First Floor, Gali Hinga Beg,
Tilak Bazar, Delhi - 110 006. ... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Commissioner of Customs,
Group-I, Chennai-II Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
2. The Commissioner of Customs,
Chennai-IV Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
3. The Additional Commissioner of Customs,
Chennai-IV Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
4. The Additional Commissioner of Customs (Imports),
Group-I, Chennai-II Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
5. The Joint/Additional Director,
Director of Revenue Intelligence,
Chennai Zonal Unit, 27, G.N.Chetty Road,
T.Nagar, Chennai - 600 017. ... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue Writs of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to release the goods viz., 1774 Bags, viz., 106.440 Mts., of Betel Nut Product known as Supari (Unflavoured Supari) of Indonesia Origin imported from the Republic of Indonesia vide Bill of Entry No.7667102, dated 27.02.2022, classifiable under CTH 21069030 and totally valued at USD 159,660.00 for 106.440 MTs and further direct the respondents to issue Waiver Certificate for Detention and Demurrage Charges under Regulations of Handling of Cargo in Customs Areas Regulations 2009.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.K.Jayaraj
For Respondents : Mrs.M.Sheela
Senior Standing Counsel - R1 to R4
Mr.V.Sundareswaran,
Senior Panel Counsel - R5

O R D E R

Mrs.M.Sheela, learned Senior Standing Counsel accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Mr.V.Sundareswaran, learned Senior Panel Counsel accepts notice for respondent No.5. All learned counsel would submit that the issue that arises in this matter has been considered by this Court on 13.06.2022 in a batch of Writ Petitions in W.P.Nos.11942 of 2022 etc. batch.

2. The petitioner seeks a mandamus directing the respondents to release the goods viz., 1774 Bags, viz., 106.440 Mts., of Betel Nut Product known as Supari (Unflavoured Supari) of Indonesia Origin imported from the Republic of Indonesia vide Bill of Entry No.7667102, dated 27.02.2022, classifiable under CTH 21069030 and totally valued at USD 159,660.00 for 106.440 MTs and further direct the respondents to issue Waiver Certificate for Detention and Demurrage Charges under Regulations of Handling of Cargo in Customs Areas Regulations 2009.

3. The relevant portions of order dated 13.06.2022 read as follows:

'14. No doubt, the provisions of Section 110A provide for provisional release upon terms to be imposed by the authority. However, the provisions of Section 125, that deals with 'option to pay fine in view of confiscation' provides for two situations. In the case of confiscation of prohibited goods, the option to pay fine in lieu of confiscation is discretionary, such discretion to be exercised by the authority.

15. It is only in the case of other goods, that are not prohibited, that the Officer 'shall' offer to the owner or the person from whose possession the goods were seized, an option to pay a fine, in lieu of confiscation. Thus, in the case of prohibited goods, the discretion as to whether fine may be imposed in lieu of confiscation is that of the officer concerned.

16. Having said so, it is incumbent upon the authority to be prompt in exercise of such discretion, particularly in the case of perishable goods such as the commodity in question. The

consignments are in the Customs Station since February 2022 and have been exposed to inclement weather and the vagaries of nature.

17. Though some of the petitioners have already made applications for provisional release under Section 110A of the Act, they wish to update their representations in view of events that have transpired in the recent past. This includes reference to an order of the Principal Commissioner, ordering provisional release under Section 110A, in circumstances that the petitioners state are identical to theirs. A copy of such order dated 07.05.2022 has been placed at page-23 of Additional set of documents dated 09.06.2022, filed in WP.No.12473 of 2022.

18. In the case of Unik Traders, an order-in-original had come to be passed on 28.01.2022 adverse to the petitioners classifying their consignments under Chapter-8. This order had come to be reversed by the Appellate Authority on 03.03.2022, wherein at paragraph-7, the Officer states as follows:

'7. From the above discussions, I find that the adjudicating authority has denied the re-testing of the impugned goods to avoid delay in order to comply the Hon'ble High Court of Madras direction. However in the present circumstances where the FSSAI, Mumbai test report is in contradiction to the CRCL Lab and the request made by the appellant in view of grounds of appeal cited above I find it is necessary to re-test the impugned goods in the jurisdictional FSSAI. Therefore, I remand the case to the adjudicating authority for retesting of the impugned goods in the jurisdictional FSSAI lab. Since, the principles of natural justice has not been followed, the adjudicating authority may re-examine the matter afresh and pass appropriate orders as deemed fit. As the cargo is perishable in nature and since the cargo is already provisionally assessed under Sec. 18 of Customs Act, 1962 by the proper officer the cargo may be released immediately subject to the outcome of FSSAI report. To protect the interest of revenue, the adjudicating authority is directed to decide the case within 60 days after following the principles of natural justice.'

19. It is pursuant to the above order, that order dated 07.05.2022 has come to be passed releasing the consignments of the goods in question, in that case. Thus, in light of the discussion as above, all petitioners are permitted to make applications for provisional release under Section 110A and such applications as/if and when received

shall be disposed by the adjudicating authority after hearing the petitioners and simultaneous with a prima facie determination of the classification of the commodity in each case, within a period of two (2) weeks from date of receipt of the applications.

20. In directing a prima facie classification as above, this Court has taken note of the spirit and intention of Circular No.22/2004-Cus. dated 03.03.2004 that reads as follows:

'Circular No.22/2004-Cus., dated 3.3.2004
F.No.450/115/2003-Cus.IV

Government of India
Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue)
Central Board of Excise & Customs, New Delhi
Subject: Delay in release of consignments due to
classification disputes, seizure and
provisional release thereof -
Regarding.

I am directed to say that the trade has represented to the Board that the items involved in classification disputes should not be withheld but should be released by resorting to provisional assessment.

2.The matter has been examined by the Board. It may be mentioned that in case of classification disputes, by & large, option is given for provisional clearance/assessment, if the inquiries are going to take time. However, the Board desires that a disputed or offending consignment should also not be held up unless its import/clearance is totally prohibited or banned under any law for the time being in force [E.g. PFA, CITES, Weight & Measures Act, etc.] or where prosecution is contemplated. At most, samples should be drawn and consignment should be allowed to be cleared on provisional basis as a matter of right. This will prevent congestion at ports and warehouses. Adequate B.G./security may be taken to safeguard revenue (including possible fine and penalty). In case where it is decide to detain the consignment action should be taken to shift the same to a Customs Warehouse under Section 49 of the Customs Act, 1962 [Board's Circular No.84/95-Cus., dated 25-7-95 may be referred to - 1995 (79) E.L.T. T-12].

3.Kindly bring the above instructions to the knowledge of all concerned.

4. Hindi version will follow.'

4. In fine, the direction given in the aforesaid batch of Writ Petitions is extended to the present matter as well. The petitioner has made representation before the respondents, dated 09.05.2022 that shall be disposed by R4 within a period of two (2) weeks from date of receipt of a copy of this order after hearing the petitioner.

5. This Writ Petition is disposed as above. No costs.
Connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sl

To

1. The Commissioner of Customs,
Group-I, Chennai-II Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
2. The Commissioner of Customs,
Chennai-IV Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
3. The Additional Commissioner of Customs,
Chennai-IV Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
4. The Additional Commissioner of Customs (Imports),
Group-I, Chennai-II Commissionerate,
Customs House, No.60, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.
5. The Joint/Additional Director,
Director of Revenue Intelligence,
Chennai Zonal Unit, 27, G.N.Chetty Road,
T.Nagar, Chennai - 600 017.

+lcc to Mr.A.K.Jayaraj, Advocate SR. No. 42252

+lcc to Mr.V.Sundareswaran, Advocate SR. No. 42253

W.P.No.16824 of 2022 and
WMP.No.16118 of 2022

RK (CO)

PR (19/07/2022)