



WEB COPY



Order dated : 02.12.2022

HCP No.1230 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.12.2022

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

and

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN

H.C.P.No.1230 of 2022

Rakel Mery
W/o.John Peter

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu
represented by its
Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition & Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Kallakurichi District.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Kallakurichi District.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Cuddalore.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Elavanasoorkottai Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.

... Respondents



Order dated : 02.12.2022
HCP No.1230 of 2022

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the entire records relating to the petitioner's son detention under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order dated 06.05.2022 on the file of the second respondent herein and made in proceedings D.O.No.C2/19/2022 and quash the same as illegal and consequently, direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's son, namely, Johnson @ William Gerald S/o.John Peter, aged about 22 years, before this Court and set the petitioner's son at liberty from detention, where the petitioner's son detained in Central Prison, Cuddalore.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Made by ***RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN, J.***]

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu, Johnson @ William Gerald S/o.John Peter, aged about 22 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in D.O.No.C2/19/2022 dated 06.05.2022, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



Order dated : 02.12.2022
HCP No.1230 of 2022

2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.



Order dated : 02.12.2022
HCP No.1230 of 2022

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 06.05.2022. The petitioner made a representation dated 18.06.2022. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority and the remarks were duly received. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 11.07.2022.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 13 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which 4 days were Government holidays and hence, there was an inordinate delay of 9 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received and there was a delay of 4 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, P&E after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which 2 days were Government holidays. Hence, there was inordinate delay of 11 days in considering the representation.



Order dated : 02.12.2022
HCP No.1230 of 2022

WEB COPY

7. In **Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244)**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In **Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145)**, a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In **Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others**, reported in **1980 (2) SCC 321**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 9 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining



Order dated : 02.12.2022

HCP No.1230 of 2022

Authority and unexplained delay of 2 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, P&E. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in D.O.No.C2/19/2022 dated 06.05.2022, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Johnson @ William Gerald S/o.John Peter, aged about 22 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

[PNP, J.] [TKR, J.]
02.12.2022

Index: Yes/No
gm



Order dated : 02.12.2022
HCP No.1230 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition & Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Kallakurichi District.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Kallakurichi District.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Cuddalore.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Elavanasoorkottai Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
- 6.The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.
- 7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Order dated : 02.12.2022

HCP No.1230 of 2022

P.N.PRAKASH, J.
and
RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

gm

H.C.P.No.1230 of 2022

02.12.2022