



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Twenty Eighth day of June Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.14829 of 2022

|                           |                           |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 THANGAMUTHU             | [ PETITIONERS / ACCUSED ] |
| 2 ALAGESAN                |                           |
| 3 SUBRAMANI @ SUBRAMANIAN |                           |
| 4 ARUN                    |                           |
| 5 ANBARASAN               |                           |
| 6 ANANTHAN                |                           |

Vs

|                              |                |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| STATE REP BY                 | [ RESPONDENT ] |
| THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, |                |
| MALLIYAKARAI POLICE STATION, |                |
| ATTUR, SALEM DISTRICT.       |                |
| (CRIME NO.50/2022)           |                |

For Petitioner : M/S.A.RAJAKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional Public Prosecutor,

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 447, 294(b), 323, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.50 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant with regard to land dispute, due to which, the petitioners assaulted and abused the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant. He would further submit that the defacto complainant has not sustained any injuries. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Attur, Salem**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two blood sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

28/06/2022

WEB COPY

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
NO.2, ATTUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
SALEM. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
MALLIYAKARAI POLICE STATION,  
ATTUR, SALEM DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.A.RAJAKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary  
charges SR.NO.10453

CRL OP.14829/2022

Date :28/06/2022

TA-04/07/2022