





petitioner has been elected 'councillor' for three times and he is a practising advocate. However, the false case has been filed against the petitioner. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner along with other accused persons, on the date of occurrence, assaulted the victim with knife brutally, thereby he sustained head injury. He would further submit that there were twelve injuries found on the victim, who went to coma, now taking treatment in the private hospital. He would further submit that the petitioner/A1 also involved in another case of Section 302 of IPC. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is seen that the entire family members of the defacto complainant were against her love affair with the injured and the injured was brutally attacked by the accused persons, thereby he sustained injuries on his head and went to coma. Further, the petitioner has also involved in a case of Section 302 of IPC.

6. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much necessary and this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

27/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
C-1 SRIPERUMBUDUR POLICE STATION,  
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.R.JOHN SATHYAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14722/2022

Date :27/06/2022

TA-04/07/2022