



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.03.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. No. 23560 of 2018
and
W.M.P. No. 27513 of 2018

Karpagam

...Petitioner

-vs-

1.The District Collector,
Tiruvannamalai,
Tiruvannamalai District.

2.The District Planning Officer,
Child Development Project Office,
Tiruvannamalai District.

3.B.Sathya

...Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the Impugned appointment order of the Third Respondent in Che. Mu. Na. Ka. No. 1892/A1/2017 dated 18.01.2018 passed by the Second Respondent, quash the same and direct the Second Respondent to appoint the Petitioner as Anganwadi Assistant in the place of the Third Respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr. P.S.Kothandaraman

For Respondents : Mr. V.Arun
Additional Advocate General assisted by
Mr. D.Gopal,
Government Advocate (For R1 & R2)

No appearance (For R3)

O R D E R

Heard Mr. P.S.Kothandaraman, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Mr. V.Arun, Learned Additional Advocate General assisted by Mr. D.Gopal, Learned Government Advocate appearing for the Respondents and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.



WEB COPY

2. The Petitioner and the Third Respondent had applied for the post of Anganwadi Assistant at Chettythangal Anganwadi Centre and the Third Respondent had been selected to that post, which has been challenged by the Petitioner in this Writ Petition.

3. The grievance ventilated by the Petitioner is that in the absence of publishing the marks secured by the competing candidates in the interview, the selection process gets vitiated and the appointment of the Third Respondent cannot be sustained. In response, the Second Respondent has filed Counter-Affidavit showing the marks secured by the candidates in the interview, which is extracted below:-

Sl. No.	Name	Marks
1	Kasthuri	Absent
2	Karpagam	29
3	Sathiya	34
4	Revathi	30
5	P.Sangeetha	26

Inasmuch as the Third Respondent has secured 34 marks which is higher to those obtained by all other candidates, her appointment does not require to be interfered by the Court.

In fine, the Writ Petition is dismissed. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gd

To

1.The District Collector,
Tiruvannamalai, Tiruvannamalai District.

2.The District Planning Officer,
Child Development Project Office,
Tiruvannamalai District.

+1cc to Government Pleader SR. No. 19874

+1cc to Mr.P.S.Kothandaraman, Advocate SR. No. 19784

W.P. No. 23560 of 2018

SRII (CO)

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices> (12/05/2022)