



Writ Appeal No.92 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.10.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

Writ Appeal No.92 of 2016
and C.M.P.No.1046 of 2016

1.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tiruvannamalai.

3.The Headmaster,
Government Girls Higher Secondary School,
Melpallipattu,
Tiruvannamalai District.

...Appellants

Vs.

M.Krishnamurthy

...Respondent

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, against the order passed by this Court dated 19.12.2013 passed in W.P.No.34619 of 2013.

For Appellants : Mrs.S.Mythreye Chandru
Special Govt. Pleader (Edn.)

For Respondent : Not ready in Notice



Writ Appeal No.92 of 2016

J U D G M E N T

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.)

WEB COPY

The Writ Appeal has been posted upon a reference being answered by a Full Bench of this Court in W.A.No.3674 of 2019 etc. batch dated 29.04.2022. A reference was made to a Full Bench in view of the conflict between two Division Bench judgments of this Court, one in W.A.(MD) Nos.701 and 769 of 2015 etc., dated 15.07.2015 (*The Director of School Education and others v. S.Amalraj*) holding that a teacher is entitled to a third set of incentive increment on account of acquiring higher qualification and the other in W.A.No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 (*The Director of School Education and others v. V.Dhanapal*) taking a contrary view and concluding that a teacher, during the entire tenure of service, would be entitled to only two sets of incentive increments and nothing more.

2.Upon a reference being made, the Full Bench had answered the issue concluding that the judgment of the Division Bench in W.A.No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 reflects the correct position of law. After considering the various Government Orders issued on the subject, the Full Bench had observed as follows:



“12. A perusal of the above order puts the issue beyond pale of doubt that as per the policy of the Government, a teacher for the entire period of his/her service shall be granted two incentives (four increments) only. Therefore, there cannot be any dispute regarding the fact that a Secondary Grade Teacher or B.T.Assistant or Post Graduate Teacher during the entire period of service as a teacher, is entitled to get only two incentive increments. Taking note of the same only, the Division Bench in W.A.No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 (The Director of School Education and others v. V.Dhanapal) has categorically held that the acquisition of an M.Phil. qualification does not entitle a teacher for a third incentive increment, in view of G.O.Ms.No.1024, Education, Science and Technology Department, dated 09.12.1993 restricting the number of incentive increments to two and therefore, any teacher is not entitled to a third incentive increment.”

3.An attempt is made by Mr.Sasidharan and Mr.Ganesan, appearing for some of the teachers to contend that those teachers who have obtained the additional qualification prior to 09.12.1993, namely the date on which



4. In view of the categorical pronouncement of the Full Bench stated above, we cannot take any other view. Hence the Writ Appeal filed by the Government will stand allowed and the Writ Petition will stand dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index: No
Internet: Yes
speaking order
pam



Writ Appeal No.92 of 2016

To
WEB COPY

1. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai-600 006
2. The Chief Educational Officer,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tiruvannamalai
3. The Headmaster,
Government Girls Higher Secondary School,
Melpallipattu,
Tiruvannamalai District



WEB COPY
and



Writ Appeal No.92 of 2016

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.

K.KUMARESH BABU, J.
pam

Writ Appeal No.92 of 2016
and C.M.P.No.1046 of 2016

10.10.2022