



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06-12-2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Tr.C.M.P.No.583 of 2022

and

C.M.P.No.10177 of 2022

Bakkiyalakshmi

.. Petitioner

vs.

Ramsundar

.. Respondent

PRAYER : This Transfer CMP is filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the IA No.82 of 2022 in HMOP No.Unnumbered of 2022 on the file of the learned Family Court Judge in Villupuram and to transfer the same to the file of the learned Principal Family Court at Chengalpattu at Chengalpattu District.

For Petitioner : Ms.R.Bhuvaneswari

For Respondent : Mr.K.Govi Ganesan



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed to withdraw the IA No.82 of 2022 in HMOP No.Unnumbered of 2022 on the file of the Family Court at Villupuram and to transfer the same to the file of the Principal Family Court at Chengalpattu.

2. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnised on 26.08.2021 at Guduvanchery as per Hindu Rites and Customs. Due to misunderstanding, the petitioner and the respondent are living separately and the respondent filed HMOP before the Family Court at Villupuram. Since the period of one year has not expired, the HMOP was numbered and the petitioner filed IA No.82 of 2022 to dispense with the period of one year. As of now the period of one year is expired. Therefore, the HMOP is to be numbered.



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner-wife now living along with her parents and she is unemployed. While-so, she cannot travel all along from Oorapakkam to Villupuram for the purpose of contesting the case in the Family Court at Villupuram.

4. The learned counsel for the respondent objected the said contention raised on behalf of the petitioner by stating that the respondent was attacked by the family members of the petitioner and a criminal case was registered. Therefore, in the event of transferring the HMOP from Villupuram to Chengalpattu, the respondent may not be in a position to effectively contest the case before the Court at Chengalpattu.

5. The dispute aroused on account of the matrimony and as far as the transfer petition is concerned, the petitioner is unemployed and now she is depending on her parents. While-so, the factual circumstances in this aspect are to be considered. The petitioner is residing at Oorapakkam, which is the Kancheepuram District. However, she seeks transfer to Family Court



at Chengalpattu. This being the factum, the contention of the respondent deserves no merit consideration.

6. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases, are well settled through the three decisions of the High Court of Madras, in the following cases:-

(i) The Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in W.A.No.1181 of 2009, dated 09.07.2010, wherein in paragraphs-21 and 22, it has been observed as under:-

"21. The domicile or citizenship of the opposite party is immaterial in a case like this. In case the marriage was solemnized under Hindu Law marital relationship is governed by the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act. Therefore, Section 19 has to be given a purposeful interpretation. It is the residence of the wife, which determines the question of jurisdiction, in case the



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

proceeding was initiated at the instance of the wife.

22. While considering a provision like Section 19 (iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, the objects and reasons which prompted the parliament to incorporate such a provision has also to be taken note of. Sub Clause (iii-a) was inserted in Section 19 with a specific purpose. Experience is the best teacher. The Government found the difficulties faced by women in the matter of initiation of matrimonial proceedings. The report submitted by the Law Commission as well as National Commission for Women, underlying the need for such amendment so as to enable the women to approach the nearest jurisdictional court to redress their matrimonial grievances, were also taken note of by the Government. Therefore such a beneficial provision meant for the women of our



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

Country should be given a meaningful interpretation by Courts."

(ii) In yet another case in Tr.CMP.Nos.138 and 139 of 2006, dated 30.08.2006, the High Court of Madras has considered the following judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India:-

"(1). In the case of **Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel [(2000) 9 SCC 255]**, when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.

(2) In the case of **Geeta Heera vs. Harish Chander Heera [(2000) 10 SCC 304]**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.

(3) In the case of **Lalita A.Ranga vs. Ajay**



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

Champalal Ranga [(2000) 9 SCC 355], the wife has filed a petition to transfer the proceedings initiated by the husband for divorce, at Bombay. The place of residence of the wife was at Jaipur, Rajasthan. In that case, the petitioner is having a small child and that she pleaded difficulty in going all the way from Jaipur to Bombay to contest the proceedings from time to time. Considering the distance and the difficulties faced by the wife, the Supreme Court has allowed the transfer petition.

(4) In a decision in **Archana Singh vs. Surendra Bahadur Singh [(2005) 12 SCC 395]**, the wife has sought for transfer of matrimonial proceedings and a divorce petition has been filed by the respondent's husband at Baikunthpur to be transferred to Allahabad, where the petitioner's wife was residing, on the ground that it would be difficult



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

for her to undertake such long distance journey, particularly in circumstances, in which she finds that the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was already pending before the Family Court, Allahabad. Considering the difficulties faced by the wife and also the long distance journey, the Honourable Supreme Court was pleased to order transfer of the proceedings to Allahabad.”

(iii) In a decision made in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010, dated 03.03.2011, the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, wherein in paragraph-18, it has been observed as below:-

"18. It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

intention of the Legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19(iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides."

7. Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to consider the case of the petitioner. Accordingly, the IA No.82 of 2022 in unnumbered HMOP now pending on the file of the Family Court at Villupuram stands transferred to the Principal Family Court at Chengalpattu forthwith. The Family Court at Villupuram is directed to transmit the case papers to the Principal Family Court at Chengalpattu, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. With the abovesaid directions and observations, the Transfer



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

06-12-2022

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order.

Internet : Yes/No.

Index: Yes/No.

Svn

To

10/12



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

WEB COPY

1.The Judge,
Family Court,
Villupuram.

2.The Principal Judge,
Principal Family Court,
Chengalpattu.

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

11/12



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

Svn

Tr.CMP No.583 of 2022

06-12-2022

12/12