



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner on the pretext of marriage, had committed sexual assault on the minor victim girl who is aged about only 15 years. He had also produced the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. A perusal of the statement revealed that the petitioner dragged the victim girl to his house and had sexual assault on her. In fact, she was constrained by the petitioner when her mother asked her whereabouts. Thereafter, her mother found the victim girl in the house of the petitioner and had taken to their house. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of the case, since the petitioner has committed very serious and heinous offence as against the victim girl, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
10/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
MAYILADUTHURAI,

2 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT JAIL, NAGAPATTINAM

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.T. PADMANABHAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13406/2022

Date :10/06/2022

JPA 17/06/2022