



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.No.14685 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23.09.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

CRL.O.P.No.14685 of 2022
and CrI.MP.No.8126 of 2022

Vijaya Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station Central,
Coimbatore City.
(Crime No.5 of 2022)

2.Uma ... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying
to quash the FIR in Crime No.5 of 2022 on the file of the first respondent.

For Petitioner : Ms.M.Karpagam

For Respondents

For R1 : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This petition has been filed to quash the F.I.R. in Crime No.5 of 2022
registered by the first respondent police for offences under Sections
354A(1)(i), 354 A(1)(ii), 354 D(1)(1) and 294(b) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil



CRL.O.P.No.14685 of 2022

Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, as against the petitioner.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant came in as a client to the petitioner/Advocate and that she has paid a fees of Rs.40,000/- for filing a case against her second husband and his family members and that the petitioner had failed to do his duty and subsequently committed sexual harassment against the defacto complainant. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any base, the first respondent police registered a case in Crime No.05 of 2022 for the offences under Sections 354A(1)(i), 354 A(1)(ii), 354 D(1)(1) and 294(b) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, as against the petitioner. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the investigation is almost completed and the respondent police have only to file final report.



CRL.O.P.No.14685 of 2022

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the first respondent.

6. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegations as against the petitioner to attract the offence, which has to be investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

7. It is relevant to rely upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in **Crl.A.No.255 of 2019** dated **12.02.2019** in the case of **Sau.**

Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. the State of Maharashtra & ors., as follows:-

"4. The only point that arises for our consideration in this case is whether the High Court was right in setting aside the order by which process was issued. It is settled law that the Magistrate, at the stage of taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with a view to taking cognizance of the offence, or in other



words, to find out whether a *prima facie* case has been made out for summoning the accused persons. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the material or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to a conviction or not.

5. *Quashing the criminal proceedings is called for only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence, or is frivolous, vexatious, or oppressive. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by the Magistrate, it is open to the High Court to quash the same. It is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the Trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification for the High Court to interfere.*

.....

9. *Having heard the learned Senior Counsel and examined the material on record, we are of the considered view that the High Court ought not to have set aside the order passed by the Trial Court issuing summons to the Respondents. A perusal of the complaint discloses that prima facie, offences that are alleged against the*



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.No.14685 of 2022

Respondents. The correctness or otherwise of the said allegations has to be decided only in the Trial. At the initial stage of issuance of process it is not open to the Courts to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Criminal complaints cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are prima facie made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted."

8. In view of the above discussion, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. However, the first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.05 of 2022 and file a final report within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate, if not already filed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

23.09.2022

Index : Yes / No
Speaking / Non Speaking order
ata



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.No.14685 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.
ata

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station Central,
Coimbatore City.
- 2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.14685 of 2022

23.09.2022