




3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are no way connected to the offence alleged by the prosecution. Since the victim girl's parents gave assurance that the victim girl was aged 18 years, they performed the marriage. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the victim girl is aged about 16 years, i.e., 13.05.2006. There are totally eight accused were involved in this case. The victim girl's parents, who are arrayed as A1 and A2, were arranged marriage to the victim girl with A6. A7 is the father and A8 is the mother of A6. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Fast Track Mahila Court, Dharmapuri, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the 1st petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation and the 2nd petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
09/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE FAST TRACK MAHILA COURT
DHARMAPURI

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
PENNAGARAM, DHARMAPURI DISTRICT

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.R.SELVAKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.8757

CRL OP.13339/2022

Date :09/06/2022

JPA 15/06/2022