



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner has assaulted the victim violently. He also produced the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. A perusal of the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C revealed that the victim was working under the petitioner's house as a House Maid and she was looking after the petitioner's mother. On the date of occurrence, when the petitioner attacked his mother, it was questioned by the victim, due to which, the petitioner misbehaved with the victim and also attempted to commit sexual assault on her. Therefore, she sustained injury on her private parts and she had undergone surgery. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much necessary in this case. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
13/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
POONAMALLEE CHENNAI

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.A.M.RAHAMATH ALI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13487/2022

Date :13/06/2022

JPA 20/06/2022