



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.6.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

W.P.NO.14240 OF 2022

1. Fahmidunnisa

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director of Elementary Education,
College Road, Chennai 6.

2. The District Educational Officer,
Ranipet, Ranipet District.

3. The Block Educational Officer,
Block Educational Office,
Arcot Range, Ranipet District.

4. The Correspondent,
Islamiah Boys Aided Primary School,
Melvisharam, Ranipet District 632 509.

... Respondents

Prayer:- The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the entire records connected with the impugned order passed by 2nd respondent vide Na.Ka.No.2621/A4/2019 dated 25.06.2020 and quash the same and consequently direct the 2nd respondents to approve the appointment of the petitioner as Secondary Grade Teacher in the 4th respondent school w.e.f. 16.07.2018 with all consequential benefits in the light of the order passed in W.P. No. 3189 of 2020 dated 26.02.2020 confirmed in W.A.No.1090/2021 dated 4.2.2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.N.Ravichandran

For Respondents : Mr.T.Chezhiyan, A.G.P.
RR1 to 3



O R D E R

According to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the writ petitioner was appointed as Secondary Grade Teacher in the fourth respondent School on 13.7.2018. The fourth respondent submitted a proposal to the second respondent on 6.9.2018 for approval of the appointment made by the fourth respondent School. However, the second respondent returned the proposal by proceedings dated 25.6.2020 by citing G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019. Again, the fourth respondent School re-submitted the proposal by clarifying the returns on 20.7.2020 to the second respondent and subsequently, dated 28.7.2021. However, no order has been passed by the respondents. Therefore, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition before this Court.

2. The prayer as sought for in the instant writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court dated 18.4.2022 in W.P.No.3194 of 2020, etc. Batch case [B.Kurinjimalaron vs. The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its Secretary, Education Department, Fort St. George, Chennai-9] wherein this Court held as follows:

''9. On perusal of the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in the aforesaid Batch of cases and also the G.O.Ms.No.165 issued by the School Education Department, dated 17.9.2019, both the judgment as well as G.O.Ms.No.165 are prospective in nature. The appointments made by the School Management in the instant writ petitions are prior to the issuance of G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019 and proposals for the said appointments were also forwarded to the educational authorities prior to the issuance of G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019. Therefore, there is no legal impediments for the respondents to accord approval to the appointment made by the School Management in the instant writ petitions.

10. Having regard to the rival submissions of the parties, taking note of the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in a Batch of Writ Appeals in W.A.(MD) No.76 of 2019, etc., G.O.Ms.No.165 issued by the School Education department, dated 17.9.2019 will not prohibit the educational authorities to approve the



WEB COPY

appointments made by the School Management in the instant writ petitions since the proposals for approval of appointments made by the School Management were forwarded to the educational authorities prior to the issuance of G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the respondent department without considering the G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019 in proper perspective and passed the impugned order rejecting the proposals submitted by the School Management. Therefore, the impugned orders passed by the respondent department are liable to be quashed.

11. Accordingly, the impugned orders passed by the respondent department in the aforesaid writ petitions are quashed and remitted to the Chief Educational Officers/District Educational Officers concerned, to consider and pass an order of approval of the appointments made by the School management, provided the said proposals satisfies all the norms prescribed for such appointments and as per the Rules, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order. It is made clear that those who are appointed to the post of Secondary Grade Teacher/B.T. Assistant/Middle Grade Assistant prior to the issuance of G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019 and also, the proposals for approval of such appointments were also forwarded to the educational authorities prior to the issuance of G.O.Ms.No.165 dated 17.9.2019 alone are entitled to the relief granted hereinabove."

3. In the light of the judgment cited supra, the impugned order passed by the second respondent is quashed and remitted to the second respondent to consider and pass an order of approval of the appointment made by the fourth respondent School, in the light of the judgment cited supra, provided the said proposal satisfies all the norms prescribed for such appointment and as per the Rules, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.



WEB COPY

4. With the above directions, the writ petition stands allowed. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vaan

To

1. The Director of Elementary Education,
College Road,
Chennai 6.
2. The District Educational Officer,
Ranipet, Ranipet District.
3. The Block Educational Officer,
Block Educational Office,
Arcot Range, Ranipet District.
4. The Correspondent,
Islamiah Boys Aided Primary School,
Melvisharam, Ranipet District 632 509.

+1cc to Mr.S.N.Ravichandran, Advocate, S.R.No.36020

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.36609

W.P.No.14240 of 2022

AJB(CO)
RLP(24/06/2022)