



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Ninth day of June Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.13302 of 2022

BHAVANI

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
W19, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
ADAYAR, CHENNAI-600 020.
(CR.NO.2 OF 2022)

For Petitioner : M/S. C.S.SARAVANAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 354(A), 354(C), 506(i), 509 r/w 34 IPC and 66 E & 67A IT Act 2000 in Crime No. 2 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Totally, there are 5 accused and the petitioner is arrayed as A2. The case of the prosecution is that A1, as an admin, created a face book group named WSB Rebels 2.0. and petitioner/A2 herein is a moderator and through which, they accepted the women and propounded various ideas for extra marital relationship with other persons. In which, the defacto complainant also joined in the year 2019 and A4, said to have developed intimacy with the defacto complainant and taken photos and videos. Thereafter, they had misused the said photos and videos. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the false case has been foisted as against the petitioner and hence he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that totally there are five accused involved in this case. A1, who is an Australian Citizen, has created a face book group in the name of WSB Rebels 2.0, in which, the petitioner/A2 is a Moderator and the defacto complainant joined in the said face book in the year 2019 and she had affair with some other persons and took photos and using the same, they threatened the defacto complainant. That apart, already the petitioner filed anticipatory bail, when this Court about to dismiss the petition, it was withdrawn as dismissed. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner has already filed a petition for anticipatory bail, when this Court was about to dismiss the petition, it was withdrawn by him. Therefore, this Court finds no change of circumstances and infact the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

09/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
W19, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
ADAYAR, CHENNAI-600 020.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S. C.S.SARAVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.No.8777

CRL OP.13302/2022

Date :09/06/2022

CSK 24/06/2022