



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Tenth day of June Two Thousand Twenty Two

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.13046 of 2022

1 SUMATHIRA
2 RAJKUMAR

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SIRKAZHI POLICE STATION,
MAYILADUTHURAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.232 OF 2022)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.M.VINOTH Advocate

For Respondent : M/S. A.DAMODARAN, ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 & A2 apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 4(1)(aaa) r/w 4(1-A) of TNP Act, in Crime No.232 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 25.05.2022 based on the secret information, the respondent Police found the petitioners herein with illegal possession of 110 litres of I.D arrack. However, the petitioners escaped from the scene of occurrence. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and no way connected with the alleged offence. He further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the investigation is not yet completed and if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail, there is a possibility that they will tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation. He would further submit that



there are six previous cases pending against the A1 and twenty seven previous cases pending against the A2. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the allegations and also the bad antecedent of the petitioners and the fact that the case is in the initial stage of the investigation and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is essential, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

10/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SIRKAZHI POLICE STATION,
MAYILADUTHURAI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.M.VINOTH Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13046/2022

Date :10/06/2022

RVR 21/06/2022