



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner along with other accused persons are alleged to have committed gang rape on the victim girl, who is mentally disordered and disabled person, having only 25% of IQ. Utilizing the circumstance, the petitioner had kidnapped and committed the heinous offence. He further submitted that accused/A1 to A3 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Insofar as the petitioner is concerned, he along with other accused persons have forcibly kidnapped and committed gang rape on the victim girl, who is mentally disordered and disabled person, which is of serious and heinous offence, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required in this case. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
29/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
G-3, MELAMARUVATHUR ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
CHENGALPATTU DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S.SENTHILVEL Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12671/2022

Date :29/06/2022

TA-07/07/2022