



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Seventeenth day of June Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12720 of 2022

THIRUMANI SELVAM

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SINGANALLUR POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.265 OF 2022.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. M.N.BALAKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 406 and 420 of I.P.C., in Crime No.265 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Muruganantham and Kannapan are business friends of the de-facto complainant. They represented that they are having an extreme powerful object which will fetch good wealth and demanded a sum of Rs.30 lakhs. On 18.04.2022, the complainant arrived at MX lodge, Singanallur with cash of Rs.30 lakhs. At that time, the accused persons have entered into the complainants room and gave a bag with brick stones and instructed to open after three hours. Thereafter, the complainant opened the bag and he came to know that he was cheated. Hence, this complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is running a real estate business and his name also not found in the F.I.R., further the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the false case has been foisted as against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that totally four accused in this case, petitioner is arrayed as A4. He further submitted that the petitioner and other accused received a sum of Rs.30 lakhs from the de facto complainant. The other accused were arrested and remanded to the Judicial custody.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required in this case. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

-sd/-

17/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SINGANAILLUR POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. M.N.BALAKRISHNAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12720/2022

Date :17/06/2022

JPA 29/06/2022