



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Seventh day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12735 of 2022

KARTHICK

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TEYNAMPET POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI
(CRIME NO.136/2022)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.J.RAMKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 353 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.136 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, he would pray for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai, on conditions that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which the petition for anticipatory bail shall dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-
27/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
NO.XVIII, SAIDAPET, CHENNAI.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN
MAGISTRATE, EGMORE, CHENNAI. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TEYNAMPET POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.J.RAMKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12735/2022

Date :27/05/2022

RW-02/06/2022