



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Seventh day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12674 of 2022

SAKTHIVEL

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VEPPUR POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.
CR.NO.545 OF 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.M.SELVAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.V.MEGHANATHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable **u/s 379 of IPC r/w. 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Cr.No.545 of 2021**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner, who is the owner of the tipper lorry, is alleged to have transported one unit of M-sand, illegally. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle. The petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence as prosecuted. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that it is alleged that the petitioner is alleged to have transported one unit of M-sand in a tipper lorry without any valid permission. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.In view of the rehabilitation undertaken under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities, this Court is of the opinion that the **petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

6.Merely because the petitioner has deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7.Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust** and on such deposit, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of Thirty days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Virudhachalam, Cuddalore District**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust.**

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.



[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

27/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHACHALAM, CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CUDDALORE. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VEPPUR POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
CUDDALORE.

+1 CC to M/S.M.SELVAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.8129

CRL OP.12674/2022

Date :27/05/2022

TA-01/06/2022