



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Eighth day of June Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12624 of 2022

ESWARAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

TIRUVARUR TOWN POLICE STATION,

CR.NO.154/2021.

For Petitioner : M/S. A.ARUN KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional Public Prosecutor,

PETITION FOR BAIL 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.04.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 489(B), 489(C) & 420 of IPC in crime No.154 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.03.2021, the defacto complainant and his associates came for house work in the petitioner's father in law's house and the petitioner gave Rs.500/- to the defacto complainant for the labour charge. Thereafter, they went to tasmac shop and bought liquor, wherein they came to know, that was counterfeit note. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the he had no knowledge that it was a counterfeit note. Hence, he seeks for grant of bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that on the occurrence day, the defacto complainant gave the 500 rupee note, which was given by the petitioner, to the tasmac shop. At that time, the defacto complainant came to know that it was counterfeit note and lodged the complaint. He would further submit that there are totally six accused and the petitioner is arrayed as A5, whereas A6 is still absconding. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. It is seen that there are totally six accused, in which the petitioner is arrayed as A5 and A6 is still absconding. Further, they are the key persons who printed the counterfeit notes. Therefore, the petitioner has specific overt act and he has committed very serious offence as against the society. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
08/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TIRUVARUR TOWN POLICE STATION,
TIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. A.ARUN KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12624/2022

Date :08/06/2022

TA-22/06/2022