



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Sixth day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12357 of 2022

1 SAGADEVAN
2 GURUMANI

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MADUKKARAI POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE
(CRIME NO.265 OF 2022)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioners : M/S W.CAMYLES GANDHI Advocate

For Respondent : MR.V.MEGHANATHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable u/s 379 of IPC & Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 in Cr.No.265 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioners are alleged to have transported 3 units of sand illegally in a Tipper Lorry.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are an innocent person and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioner.



4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the quantity of sand involved is 3 units of sand. He further submitted that 1st petitioner is the owner of the lorry and 2nd petitioner is the driver of the lorry. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioners.

5.In view of the rehabilitation undertaken under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities, this Court is of the opinion that the 1st petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) and the 2nd petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.

6.Merely, because the petitioners had deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7.Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioners with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the 1st petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) and the 2nd petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust and on such deposit, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Madukkarai on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the 1st petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) and the 2nd petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust.



[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
26/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADUKKARAI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
COIMBATORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MADUKKARAI POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.



5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
COIMBATORE.

WEB COPY

+1 CC to M/S W.CAMYLES GANDHI Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.7978

CRL OP.12357/2022

Date :26/05/2022

JPA 01/06/2022