



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Sixth day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12332 of 2022

SENTHILKUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY

[RESPONDENT]

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VETTAIKARAN IRUPPU POLICE STATION,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT
(CRIME NO.43/2022)

For Petitioner : M/S T.ANANTHASEKAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C @ 294(b), 326, 325, 506(ii) & 307 of IPC in Crime No.43 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant got engaged to one Vanitha. Thereafter, in order to de-fame the defacto complainant in front of the family members of said Vanitha, the petitioner in a drunken state abused the defacto complainant in filthy language. When the defacto complainant questioned him, the petitioner tried to stab him with a knife and assaulted him with a stick and caused injuries. Due to which the defacto complainant sustained grievous injuries and attempted to cause death. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate would submit that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant using a stick and caused grievous injuries. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate cum District Munsif, Vedaranyam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

26/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)

High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE CUM
DISTRICT MUNSIF, VEDARANYAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NAGAPATTINAM(FOR INFORMATION)

3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VETTAIKARAN IRUPPU POLICE STATION,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S T.ANANTHASEKAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges Sr.7943

CRL OP.12332/2022

Date :26/05/2022

rvr 30/05/2022