



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Nineteenth day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.12040 of 2022

NEETHIKKU THALAIVAN @ SILAMBARASAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDAIYARPALAYAM POLICE STATION,
ARIYALUR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.95 OF 2022.

For Petitioner : M/S ILAYARAJA KANDASAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional Public Prosecutor,

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.95 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to dispute in the boundaries of the land, a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, the petitioner said to have attacked the defacto complainant with Arival and thereby caused injuries to him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Jayankondam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

19/05/2022

WEB COPY

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, JAYANKONDAM, ARIYALUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
ARIYALUR. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDAIYARPALAYAM POLICE STATION,
ARIYALUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S ILAYARAJA KANDASAMY Advocate on payment of
necessary charges SR.NO.7474

CRL OP.12040/2022

Date :19/05/2022

TA-25/05/2022