



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Nineteenth day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.11536 of 2022

1 AMMAYAPPAN
2 ARIVAZHAGAN
3 SEETHA
4 UMA

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANDIMADAM POLICE STATION,
ARIYALUR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.155 OF 2022.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. T.MURUGANANTHAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional public Prosecutor
[CRL.O.P.NO.11536/2022]


M/S.V.J.PRIYADARSANA, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)
[CRL.M.P.NO.7287/2022]

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under **(*)Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act** in Crime No.155 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant Amutha is the mother-in-law of the third petitioner and the other petitioners are the brother and aunty of the third petitioner. It is stated that when the third petitioner was scolding her child, it was opposed by the defacto complainant. Thereafter, the third petitioner



informed the matter to the other petitioners, who came and questioned the defacto complainant, which led to wordy quarrel between them, due to which the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital on 15.05.2022. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the victim discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Jayamkondam, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been



imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
19/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

(*)AMENDED AS PER ORDER OF THIS COURT DATED 14/06/2022 MADE IN CRL.MP.No.7287 OF 2022 IN CRL.O.P.NO.11536 OF 2022

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.I, JAYAMKONDAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
ARIYALUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANDIMADAM POLICE STATION,
ARIYALUR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S. T.MURUGANANTHAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.9121

CRL OP.11536/2022

Date :19/05/2022

JPA 25/05/2022

JPA 21/06/2022