



about 60 years and absolutely no chance for the petitioner to rape the victim girl. Hence, he seeks for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that on the occurrene day, when the victim girl was alone in the home, the petitioner entered into the house and forcefully had sexual intercourse with her and thereafter, he also made life threat to her not to disclose the fact to anyone. He also produced the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On perusal of the statement recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. revealed that the petitioner has committed rape on the victim and also threatened her with dire consequences if she discloses to anybody. Therefore, the petitioner committed very serious and heinous offence on the victim girl. As such, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

07/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
PALLADAM,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
SUB JAIL, PALLADAM.

CC to M/S. S.VINOTH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.11067/2022

Date :07/06/2022

TA-10/06/2022